1	US ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS
2	KANSAS CITY DISTRICT UNIT L385 PRE-BID CONFERENCE
3	
4	
5	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
6	
7	On Wednesday, the 9th day of January,
8	2002, at 9:00 a.m., the US Army Corps of Engineers, Kansas City District, held a pre-bid conference at Riverside City Hall, Riverside,
9	Missouri.
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1

25

```
(The pre-bid conference commenced at
 2
         9:10 a.m.)
 3
                   MR. DIMMITT: Okay. I think we're
         about ready to get started. I appreciate
 5
         everyone coming out today to talk about L385.
 6
         Before we get started, we have a few
         introductions to make. And the first
         introduction I'd like to make is Mayor Burch,
 9
         the mayor of Riverside. Mayor, do you have a
10
         few remarks you want to make before we get
11
         started?
12
                  MS. BURCH: Good morning everyone, and
13
         I'm real happy to see you here today. You
        really don't know how long we've waited on this
14
        project. It's been like 60 years. Can you hear
15
        me? Okay. We are excited about this. We are
16
17
        ready to go on this. Hopefully, everybody's got
         their pencils real sharp and come in with a good
18
19
        bid so we can go ahead with this project. It's
20
         about a two- to three-year project. It's
21
         something that we've waited for. And if any of
        you were around here in 1993, you know today why
22
23
        we need this.
                   So I've got a couple of introductions.
24
```

I've got a couple of aldermen here today, Ray

```
1 Beard and Jim Wedua. And then our levee board
```

- 2 also is here, Bob Gieseke, who is our president,
- 3 and Bob Varker. And I thought I seen
- 4 Mr. McClelland come in. Is he here? There you
- 5 are. Okay. And so we have two of our own
- 6 attorneys, Deborah Polk and John McClelland
- 7 here.
- 8 So if you are ready, we're ready to
- 9 start this off, so I'll give it back to Bob.
- 10 Thank you for coming.
- 11 MR. DIMMITT: Okay. Thank you, ma'am.
- 12 I'd just like to also mention that we have a
- 13 court reporter here that will be writing down
- everything that we're saying. We will be
- posting the minutes on the web page. And in
- 16 case I didn't say it, my name is Bob Dimmitt.
- 17 I'm project manager with the Corps of Engineers.
- 18 Sitting up here beside me is the Corps of
- 19 Engineers, the product development team, the
- 20 technical folks that put this project together.
- 21 And I think I'll just start out and let you
- introduce yourself and, if you wouldn't mind,
- just say your name and what area your expertise
- 24 is.
- MR. MIRAMONTEZ: My name is Pat

```
1 Miramontez. I'm a cost engineer for the Corps
```

- of Engineers.
- 3 MR. SIVAKUMARZ: My name is Siv
- 4 Sivakumarz. I'm the structural engineer, Kansas
- 5 City district.
- 6 MS. LUST: Kathy Lust. I'm the
- 7 resident engineer at the field office
- 8 responsible for code administration.
- 9 MR. DETRICK: My name is Charlie
- 10 Detrick and I'm in channels and levees
- 11 engineering.
- MR. BATEMAN: My name is Rich Bateman.
- 13 I'm with the Corps of Engineers here. On this
- 14 project I'm the geotech design engineer.
- MR. HUFF: I'm Dick Huff. I'm a
- 16 structural engineer.
- MS. O'CONNELL: Tricia O'Connell,
- 18 civil engineer, Corps of Engineers.
- 19 MR. STARK: Ken Stark, hydraulic
- 20 engineer.
- 21 MR. SCHUETTPELZ: Marty Schuettpelz.
- 22 I'm the environmental resources contact with the
- 23 Corps.
- MR. WAGNER: I'm Chad Wager. I
- represent the contracting office.

MS. CROSS: I'm Rochelle Cross,

1

25

```
2
         attorney for the Corps of Engineers.
 3
                   MR. DIMMITT: We also have a few
 4
         people that are sitting out in the audience.
 5
         Walter Heimbaugh worked on specifications and
         Earl Smith also worked on contracting documents.
                   Before we get started also, I have a
         sign-in roster. Earl has got a sign-in roster
 9
         going through the audience, and we'd like for
10
         you to write down your name and who you
11
         represent, and we will post this on the web page
12
         also.
13
                   Before we get started, I have a couple
         of items I'd like to call your attention to in
14
         the bid documents. I assume most of you have
15
         got the bid documents by now and probably have
16
17
         noticed that the bids are scheduled to be opened
         on February the 5th at 2:00 p.m. in the Corps of
18
19
         Engineers office. You just need to make sure
20
         that you acknowledge all your amendments before
21
         you submit your bids and that you've fully
         filled out your bid schedule before you submit
22
23
         them obviously.
                   The project is full open competition.
24
```

We have three bid schedules. The first bid

schedule is for the phase I project which builds

2	a portion of the Quindaro levee. The second bid
3	schedule is for the phase II portion of the
4	project that builds the remainder of the levee.
5	The third bid schedule is a combination of
6	phase I and phase II, builds the entire project.
7	You can bid any or all three of the bid
8	schedules as you see fit. We'll award the job
9	based on the low bid. If you take the low bid
10	for phase I, add it to the low bid of phase II,
11	compare it to the overall bid, we'll award to
12	the low bid. So it may go two contracts or it
13	may go to just one contract depending on the
14	price.
15	Very quickly, these are very typical
16	Corps of Engineers specifications that most of
17	you are familiar with. Division I
18	specifications are general specifications.
19	Division II is site work. Division III,
20	concrete specifications, et cetera. I would
21	also like to call your attention to
22	specifications section 2101, special scheduling.
23	We have several locations where you are required
24	to notify adjacent landowners prior to starting

work and then you only have a certain amount of

1	time to accomplish that work. Also, on the
2	phase II portion of the contract, you'll have to
3	cross the Burlington Northern main line tracks
4	twice and the very tight time frame to get that
5	done. We're shutting one of the tracks down,
6	but you can only shut it down for about 30 days,
7	for 30 days. Again, that's covered in detail in
8	the specifications. I just want to make sure
9	everybody is aware of that.
10	Today is also a good day to look over
11	the project. We called for the 60-degree
12	weather today so we should have a nice day to go
13	out and look at the project. Those of you that
14	aren't native to Missouri, usually this week
15	it's about 10 below zero with about a foot of
16	snow, so we've lucked out.
17	We will mention that the sponsor does
18	not have all the real estate for the project so
19	you need to stay on public roads to look at the
20	project. If you want to get off onto some
21	private land to look where the levee's actually
22	going to be built, you will have to get a right
23	of entry from the landowner and you will have to
24	check with the city sponsor or the Corps of

Engineers to get right of entry to get out onto

```
1 some of the land.
```

2 I have a couple of other issues to 3 discuss before we open it to questions. If you 4 have -- we have a big audience here, and I'm 5 sure a lot of you have a lot of detailed 6 questions. In order to save time on your real 7 detailed questions about specific areas of the specifications, or if you think there's an error 9 or something, we'd ask that you submit those 10 questions to us in writing and that you try to 11 hold your questions here to general questions 12 that we'll try to respond to. We'll take your 13 written questions and we will either put out an amendment or we will clarify on the web page. 14 So if we can do that, I think that would hold 15 the length of the meeting down to a reasonable 16 17 length today. Also, if you have any late arrivers, please sign in to the roster before 18 you leave. 19 20 I just want to emphasize that it's not our intent with this meeting, anything that we 21 say up here does not change the specifications 22 23 or the plans. If we change something, or you 24 think that we're saying something different than 25 is in the plans, we are not changing the plans

```
1 unless we issue an amendment. Also, if you find
```

- 2 a discrepancy between the specifications and the
- 3 plans, the specifications will govern, typical
- 4 rules that we have.
- 5 Before I open it up to questions, I
- 6 would also like to say if you have a question,
- 7 please come up to the podium and state your name
- 8 and who you represent and ask your question.
- 9 And, again, try to hold your questions to
- 10 general questions. And, with that, I think I'll
- go ahead and open it up to questions.
- MR. RICK SMITH: Rick Smith of Weeks
- 13 Marine.
- 14 MR. DIMMITT: Please come up to the
- podium, please.
- MR. RICK SMITH: Just a format
- 17 question. Are you guys going to make a
- 18 presentation on the general scope of work so we
- 19 could know for sure that we understand, you
- 20 know, the difference between phase I and
- 21 phase II for instance. Simple stuff.
- MR. DIMMITT: We weren't planning on
- it, but I can very quickly explain what phase I
- and phase II is.
- MR. RICK SMITH: And what, you know,

structure is there and just general overview of

1

22

23

24

25

```
2
         the project.
 3
                   MR. DIMMITT: Sure. We can do that.
         I may need a little help from some of the team
         here. This is just a depiction of what the
 5
 6
         project looks like. We are located over here on
 7
         the right bank of Line Creek here in city hall.
 8
         The project is broken into basically two pieces.
 9
         You have the Quindaro portion of the project
10
         which comes around the Missouri River, ties up
11
         to Highway 9 next to Line Creek. You have the
12
         Riverside portion of the project which is over
13
         on the left bank of Line Creek. The phase I
         project starts about right in here where the
14
         Intercontinental property, Intercontinental
15
         factory is, comes down over here to about 635.
16
17
                   The phase I project is mostly building
         a levee. There is one structure, as I recall,
18
         stop log gap K2. Otherwise, it's all earthwork,
19
20
         and that's where the dredging -- that's where
21
         the dredging contract is for phase I. Phase II
```

finishes up the Quindaro side. You have a

rolling gate Q0 across -- excuse me -- stop log

gate across Burlington Northern, a railroad gap.

gate Q0 across Highway 9. You have a rolling

```
1 You have a pump station over here. You have a
```

- 2 stop log gap at Q3 where the Argosy Casino is.
- 3 You have another rolling gate over across the
- 4 railroad tracks again. Can you hear? Okay.
- 5 Where it crosses the railroad track you have a
- 6 rolling gate and then it ties into Highway 9,
- 7 ties into the Highway 9 embankment. Sounds like
- 8 I'm fading out here.
- 9 On the Riverside portion we have a
- 10 rolling gate called R2 which crosses this road
- 11 here, 69. We have another stop log gap here,
- 12 R1A, goes right underneath -- help me out.
- 13 What's the name of the road? What's R2 on?
- 14 MR. BATEMAN: Really, ties outside of
- Riverside, goes right through there under the
- 16 highway.
- 17 MR. DIMMITT: And then it ties in
- 18 coming along Line Creek, ties into high ground
- 19 over here. There also is some work that has to
- 20 be done on Line Creek to straighten the project
- out, straighten the creek out. There also are a
- lot of utility relocations and there are also
- 23 some large storm centers, some large gatewell
- 24 structures that have to be constructed.
- 25 Anything else anyone would like to add

```
1
         as far as the general nature of the project?
 2
        And again, three bid schedules. Bid phase I,
 3
        phase II or bid the project all as one big
 4
        project. Does that answer your question?
 5
                  MR. RICK SMITH: Great.
 6
                  MR. DIMMITT: Okay. Next question?
 7
                  MR. ROBERT GUICHET: Bob Guichet,
 8
         Great Lakes Dredge and Dock Company. Are there
 9
         any saw borings or data available on material
        available in the river?
10
                  MR. DIMMITT: I believe there's
11
12
        borings in the plans and specifications.
13
                  MR. ROBERT GUICHET: In the river?
                  MR. DIMMITT: Oh, in the river.
14
                  MR. ROBERT GUICHET: In the river.
15
                  MR. BATEMAN: Richard Bateman, Corps
16
         of Engineers. There's none available in the
17
        river. Just adjacent to the river bar grades
18
19
        and along the levee and that's all. There's
20
        nothing in the river in the plans.
21
                   MR. ROBERT GUICHET: Okay. There's
         one other question. You all make a statement in
22
23
         the specs that says water quality will be equal
24
         or close to what is existing in the river, and
```

then it says also dredging operations will be

```
1 ceased if water quality measured at intakes mile
```

- 2 370 to 373.5 during dredging operations exceed
- 3 the established baseline. What is the
- 4 established baseline?
- 5 MR. DIMMITT: Let me answer it. We
- 6 took -- we took water quality tests at the water
- 7 intake Kansas City, Missouri, for a period of
- 8 about 18 months, and that is the established
- 9 baseline. And we will be taking -- the City of
- 10 Kansas City, Missouri, will be taking water
- 11 quality tests at the --
- MR. ROBERT GUICHET: But the baseline
- 13 will go up and down as the river goes up and
- down because more siltation is in the water at
- 15 certain times of the season.
- MR. DIMMITT: Right. And it will go
- 17 up and down based upon the time of the year and
- the amount of flow, et cetera. That's why we
- did it over 18 months to try get a good baseline
- of what it actually is.
- MR. ROBERT GUICHET: Thank you.
- MR. GARY PAULEY: Gary Pauley, Damon
- 23 Pursell Construction Company. I've got some
- 24 detailed questions you say should be submitted
- 25 in writing. How do you do that, who are they

sent to and can you do it over the Internet?

1

25

```
2.
                  MR. DIMMITT: They're going to be
 3
        going to Earl Smith. And if you want to do it
 4
        by Internet, that's certainly fine. Earl, do
 5
        you have a card? Or you can give him hard
 6
         copies. Or what is your preference, Earl?
 7
                  MR. EARL SMITH: Well, actually, it's
 8
         in the instructions. Again, it's possible to
 9
        put it on the Net or however, I believe even
10
         through e-mail. It's going to be one or two
         avenues. We'll provide that shortly after this
11
12
        meeting, I promise you.
                  MR. GARY PAULEY: Okay. Through what,
13
         an addendum or something?
14
                  MR. EARL SMITH: I'm sorry?
15
                  MR. GARY PAULEY: Are you going to let
16
17
        us know how to do this through an addendum or --
                  MR. EARL SMITH: We're going to
18
         communicate either through e-mail or through an
19
20
         addendum.
21
                  MR. GARY PAULEY: Okay.
                  MR. DIMMITT: Why don't you give your
22
23
         e-mail address or I'll give you my e-mail
24
        address?
```

MR. EARL SMITH: That will start it,

1

20

21

yes.

```
MR. DIMMITT: That will start. That
 2
 3
        will save a little time before --
 4
                  MR. EARL SMITH: Absolutely.
 5
                  MR. GARY PAULEY: Yeah, I think that's
 6
        a good idea.
 7
                  MR. DIMMITT: So I'll give you some of
 8
        my cards if you want my e-mail, or you can have
 9
        Earl's or anyone's that you want to this
10
        evening.
                  MR. GARY PAULEY: Okay. I couldn't
11
12
        find, unless I missed it, completion dates for
13
         the phases or time frames. Are they in there?
        And if so, have I missed them somewhere?
14
                  MR. DIMMITT: I'm sure the length of
15
         contract is in there someplace. The completion
16
        date, Chad?
17
                  MR. WAGNER: Should be in section C.
18
                  MR. GARY PAULEY: Section C?
19
```

MR. DIMMITT: Okay.

question. I'm sorry.

23 AUDIENCE MEMBER: In what section?

MR. WAGNER: I just didn't hear the

- MR. WAGNER: Section C.
- MR. GARY PAULEY: Okay. I found the

1

24

25

```
liquidated damages, but I couldn't find the
 2.
        dates or times.
 3
                  MR. WAGNER: We provide them there.
 4
                  MR. GARY PAULEY: Okay. And I'll look
 5
         again.
 6
                   AUDIENCE MEMBER: That's section what?
 7
                  MR. WAGNER: C.
 8
                  MR. GARY PAULEY: All right. Is it
 9
        possible that a mobilization item can be added
10
         to phase I? You've got one for phase II, you've
11
         got one for the combination but you do not have
12
         one for phase I, and there's obviously some cost
13
         items that can't be covered under or would be
        difficult to prorate under the items you've got.
14
        Can we add one maybe?
15
16
                  MR. MIRAMONTEZ: We'll look at that.
17
                  MR. GARY PAULEY: All right. And I've
         asked -- I called and asked about cross-sections
18
         for each phase. Are they going to be provided?
19
20
                  MR. DIMMITT: Rich?
21
                   MR. BATEMAN: Richard Bateman, Corps
         of Engineers. Try to address that. Right now
22
23
         we have typical cross-sections to build the job
```

both phase I and phase II. We will be coming

out with an amendment stating how, how that's

```
1 available now as far as setting up things
```

- 2 yourself. There's some stuff available already.
- 3 So we'll be coming out with -- an amendment's
- 4 coming out right now that will address that.
- 5 MR. GARY PAULEY: Okay. All right.
- 6 But will it include draw and cross-sections of
- 7 each station at the --
- 8 MR. BATEMAN: As I recall the DSETM,
- 9 surface profile and profile, and that can be
- 10 generated from that data.
- 11 MR. GARY PAULEY: Okay. All right.
- 12 Thank you.
- MR. ROBERT GUICHET: Bob Guichet again
- 14 with Great Lakes Dredge and Dock Company. One
- 15 here, is the Corps anticipating using a certain
- size dredge for water quality or any other
- 17 reasons?
- MR. DETRICK: Excuse me, using what?
- 19 I'm not sure --
- 20 MR. ROBERT GUICHET: What size dredge
- 21 is the Corps anticipating being used, the
- 22 required size for this project.
- 23 MR. DETRICK: Charlie Detrick, Corps
- of Engineers. As far as the dredge, I think we
- 25 pretty much leave it open. I'm trying to

```
1 remember. It's been a while since I read that
```

- 2 section. But it pretty much leaves it open as
- 3 long as it produces the required product.
- 4 MR. ROBERT GUICHET: Okay. And number
- 5 two, there is a spec in there that says pervious
- 6 materials, i.e., dredge materials, are to be
- 7 placed in eight-inch layers.
- 8 MR. DETRICK: Correct.
- 9 MR. ROBERT GUICHET: How do you do
- 10 that with a hydraulic fill? You know, you're
- 11 placing it in an infinitely fine layer. As
- 12 you're placing it, the water is going to go over
- it giving it hydraulic compaction. So it's
- 14 getting compacted as you're placing it. There's
- no way to put it in an eight-inch layer unless
- 16 you stockpile somewhere and haul it.
- 17 MR. DETRICK: Well, I guess our
- 18 thoughts were -- or we can look at this a little
- 19 closer. But, again, the idea is -- I mean,
- 20 obviously there isn't going to be grade stakes
- out there, but the idea is to have some control
- over that thickness. If it appears like it's
- 23 getting --
- 24 MR. ROBERT GUICHET: What I'm trying
- 25 to say is, if you look at a typical section when

```
1 a dredge is pumping, your runoff goes, gradually
2 decreases. And your pipe is placing the
```

- 3 material and the water flows and it builds, and
- 4 it builds over the whole length of the total
- fill. And it's infinitely building, and you're
- 6 not going to put in an eight-inch layer, back up
- 7 and repipe over it and put in another eight-inch
- 8 layer. It can't be done. So typically it's
- 9 pumped all at one all the way to grade and
- 10 material just flows ahead. As it reaches grade
- 11 you add a pipe on.
- MR. DETRICK: So it sounds like to me
- 13 like your infinite layers you're getting your
- 14 eight-inch thickness.
- MR. ROBERT GUICHET: That's what I'm
- trying to say. You're not going to require this
- 17 eight-inch layer for hydraulic pressment, are
- 18 you?
- 19 MR. DETRICK: You're going to get it
- 20 anyway because you're using -- like you said.
- MR. ROBERT GUICHET: That's what I'm
- 22 saying. You're going to get it, but the specs
- don't say that you can do that that away. And I
- don't want to have a confusion later on and say,
- 25 well, you guys read it. We want you to put in

```
eight inches and then come back and put in eight
```

- inches more because it's not -- it's not
- 3 practical.
- 4 MR. DETRICK: Okay. Excuse me. I
- 5 guess -- and Kathy pointed out a good point.
- 6 The intent is again to have some control so that
- 7 we just don't have a mass of sand being dumped.
- 8 And like if you're getting layers, and when you
- 9 get to that eight inches that allows you a point
- 10 to where you would achieve your -- you would do
- 11 your compactive effort. We wouldn't expect you
- to move your line every time, but, you know, you
- 13 would do that effort and then you will allow it
- 14 to build again. But --
- MR. ROBERT GUICHET: You mean you're
- going to put in an eight-inch layer and then
- 17 cross-section it or something and then come
- 18 back?
- 19 MR. DETRICK: No, no. There won't
- 20 be line rebuilding.
- 21 MR. ROBERT GUICHET: What I'm trying
- 22 to say is as you're placing it it's going to
- gradually rise all the way to grade.
- MR. DETRICK: Right.
- MR. ROBERT GUICHET: It's not going to

```
1
         just come out and pile up in one spot. If
 2
         you've seen a hydraulic fill placed, it doesn't
 3
         work quite like that. You know, the material is
 4
         going to -- but you're going to build all the
         way to grade at the discharge pipe at the end of
 5
 6
         the pipe before you add another pipe.
 7
                   MR. DETRICK: Right. That will work.
 8
                   MR. ROBERT GUICHET: And there will be
 9
         no stopping every eight inches. The dredge is
10
         going to be continuously pumping until it gets
11
         to grade.
12
                   MR. DETRICK: There are some
13
         compaction requirements in there, and that's
         where that eight inches is tied to. So as
14
         that's getting close -- you're going to read
15
         that again. You're going to want to read that
16
17
         spec again. And if there's still, if there's
         some confusion, then I suggest you either -- I
18
19
         guess the proper way I guess is to submit it in
20
         writing. But I'd read that again to make sure
21
         you understand what happens at that eight
         inches.
22
23
                   MR. ROBERT GUICHET: I mean, we pump
```

highway fills. We pump all types of fills. And

normally you get all the compaction requirement

24

```
for a highway fill by hydraulic placement.

MR. DETRICK: And there are provisions
```

- 3 in there for that too. We just want some
- 4 assurance. So if you go out there and you
- 5 verify -- and this is all addressed in the
- 6 specs. I'm not saying anything new here. And
- 7 you go out there and you verify that you are
- 8 achieving the compaction requirements then,
- 9 yeah, you're good to go.
- 10 MR. ROBERT GUICHET: Well, what do you
- 11 propose as verification?
- MR. DETRICK: There are some testing
- 13 requirements where you basically do a test
- 14 section. And this is all outlined in the spec.
- 15 And then you verify that the relative density
- 16 has been achieved.
- 17 MR. ROBERT GUICHET: Okay.
- 18 MR. DETRICK: But I would strongly
- 19 suggest you read that section again for those of
- 20 you that are involved in the dredging aspect of
- 21 this. And if there's confusion, tell us again
- and we'll make sure we get a clarification out.
- MR. MARK SLOOP: My name is Mark Sloop
- 24 with Ideker Incorporated, and I have kind of a
- general question on the Corps' intent on this

```
notice to offer.

MR. DI
```

- 2 MR. DIMMITT: Speak up a little bit.
- 3 I'm not sure everybody can hear you.
- 4 MR. MARK SLOOP: In this section 100
- 5 on page 1135 you have I guess goals in here for
- 6 various small business enterprises. Can you
- 7 maybe elaborate what the intent is? I mean, are
- 8 they goals, are they requirements or what?
- 9 MR. DIMMITT: I just barely heard your
- 10 question. Could you stand a little closer to
- 11 the speaker? I'm not hearing very well this
- morning.
- MR. MARK SLOOP: All right. In
- section 100, page 1135, they have notice to
- offer here, and it talks about goals for small
- 16 business enterprises and various disadvantaged
- 17 business enterprises. Can the Corps kind of
- 18 elaborate on what the intent of it is, if it's a
- 19 goal or a requirement or --
- 20 MR. DIMMITT: Sure. Chad or Earl,
- 21 could one of you address that?
- MR. WAGNER: Yes. If the contract is
- awarded to a large business then we require a
- 24 subcontracting plan, and the percentages that we
- 25 put in the specification are goals that we would

like the contractors to meet as far as

```
subcontracting to small business and small
disadvantaged business and so on. So that's
exactly what they are, is goals. So when you --
if you are a large business, you submit a
```

- 6 subcontracting plan to us, then we'll evaluate
- 7 it. If it's adequate, then we'll accept it and
- 8 we'll expect you to try to achieve those goals.
- 9 Okay? Does that answer your question?
- 10 MR. MARK SLOOP: Well, I guess then if
- 11 you can't meet -- if you're a large business,
- and suppose I guess sub out 61 percent through
- small business concerns, if you can't reach that
- goal you've got to clarify why you couldn't meet
- it; is that correct?

- MR. WAGNER: Yeah. You would have to
- give us some kind of explanation why you didn't
- 18 think you could meet those type of goals. Like
- 19 I said, that's exactly what they are. They're
- 20 goals. They're not --
- 21 MR. MARK SLOOP: So essentially if
- we're a large business we have to sub out 61
- 23 percent plus this nine percent, five percent, we
- do essentially about 30 percent of the work.
- MR. WAGNER: Correct. And what I

1

25

```
said, like I said earlier, those are simply
 2
         goals. We're not dictating that you -- that
 3
         they're mandated you sub out say 61 percent of
         your small business, but they're simply goals
 5
         that the Corps of Engineers has established.
 6
                   AUDIENCE MEMBER: What you're saying
 7
         is it isn't -- the difference, you have a goal
         to achieve and you try to achieve the goal. You
 9
         may go better than the goal in some areas and
10
         some areas you may go a little bit lesser than
11
         the goal. But as long as you put forth the
12
         effort and you have the documentation to prove
13
         that you put forth the effort it's satisfactory,
14
         correct?
                   MR. WAGNER: Exactly. You have just
15
         to attempt to meet the goals.
16
17
                   MR. MARK SLOOP: You're supposed to
         have all your subs and everything at the time
18
         you bid, correct?
19
20
                   MR. WAGNER: No, we don't require you
         to list out your subs when you give us your
21
         subcontracting plan. We just require you to
22
23
         give us your best guess on what percentage you
24
         will be able to sub out to different small
```

business -- to different small business and how

```
1 you will achieve that.
```

- 2 MR. MARK SLOOP: If you have two
- 3 contractors out there, one that can meet the
- 4 goal and the other one that has something less
- 5 than half that, is there going to be favoritism,
- 6 one over the other based on that?
- 7 MR. WAGNER: No. We would expect that
- 8 most large contractors would be able to meet
- 9 those small business goals. So I don't perceive
- 10 that to be a problem.
- 11 MR. WADE: And further
- 12 clarification -- my name is Cleve Wade. I'm
- 13 counsel at the district here. We have these
- goals in every government construction contract.
- They're pretty much dictated by the Department
- of Labor. And they vary from county to county
- as to what the percentages are, and I think in
- some way they're based on the availability of
- 19 small business people and minorities to meet
- those goals. And, again, they're not -- they're
- 21 goals that we expect every large business to try
- 22 to meet. And as Chad said, you submit your
- 23 subcontracting plan after the award and tell us
- how you're going to attempt to meet those goals.
- 25 And as long as you are making legitimate effort

```
1 to meet those goals there will be no problem.
```

- 2 MR. GARY PAULEY: I'm sorry. A point
- 3 of clarification on that. Gary Pauley, Damon
- 4 Pursell. Is that percentages of the contract
- 5 amount or is it percentages of the portion of
- 6 the contract that you're going to subcontract
- 7 out?
- 8 MR. WAGNER: Repeat the question one
- 9 more time.
- 10 MR. GARY PAULEY: Okay. Say your
- 11 contract is 40 million dollars and you've got
- the small business set aside part as 61.5
- 13 percent. Is that 61.5 percent of the 40 million
- dollars, or if you're only going to subcontract
- 15 five million dollars of the 40 million, is that
- 16 61 1/2 percent of the five million?
- MR. WAGNER: It's 61 percent of the
- 18 entire contract amount.
- 19 MR. GARY PAULEY: Oh, okay. Thank
- 20 you.
- MR. WAGNER: The bid price. Correct.
- MR. ROBERT GUICHET: Bob Guichet with
- Great Lakes again. In section 02331, page 7,
- paragraph 1.6.4.1, it states that mechanical
- dredges are permitted, which contradicts section

```
1 02104 page 2, 1.4, limits the work to hydraulic
```

- 2 dredges.
- 3 MR. DETRICK: Are you in -- Charlie
- 4 Detrick, Corps of Engineers. Which contract?
- 5 You're in phase I. And what was the page you're
- 6 on? I'm sorry, sir.
- 7 MR. ROBERT GUICHET: If you go to
- 8 section 02331, page 7, paragraph 1.6.4.1, it
- 9 states that mechanical dredges are permitted.
- 10 MR. DETRICK: Okay. Correct.
- 11 MR. ROBERT GUICHET: Okay. If you go
- to section 02104, page 2, paragraph 1.4, the
- work is limited to hydraulic dredges.
- MR. DETRICK: This section.
- MR. ROBERT GUICHET: Hmm?
- MR. DETRICK: This section is only
- 17 limited to hydraulic dredging.
- 18 MR. ROBERT GUICHET: Just that one
- 19 section.
- MR. DETRICK: Yes.
- 21 MR. ROBERT GUICHET: Okay. In other
- 22 words, you can --
- MR. DETRICK: The hydraulic
- 24 dredging --
- MR. ROBERT GUICHET: In other words,

```
1
        you're talking about different types of work.
 2.
                   MR. DETRICK: Right. The hydraulic
 3
         dredging applies to section 2104.
                  MR. ROBERT GUICHET: I got hung on
 5
         that one. Somebody else gave me that question
         to ask. I follow you now.
 7
                   MR. WADE: Before we get into a series
         of issues, we'd like you to put them in writing
 9
         if you can and send them to us. And basically
10
         that's not just so we don't confuse the issue by
11
         shooting from the hip here, but it's also so
12
         that all of you have equal access to the answer
13
         we're going to give if it requires an answer.
         That way we avoid any specific, you know, one
14
         contractor calling up and asking a question and
15
16
        he gets an answer and the rest of you guys don't
17
        know what the answer is. Anything -- any
         conflicts or ambiguities or anything you see in
18
19
        here that you think needs to be addressed, you
20
        need to bring it to our attention so that we can
21
         address it, and if necessary to address it we
         will put out an amendment to address it. You
22
23
        may also get an answer that we believe the specs
24
         is adequate and you need to bid it as you read
```

25

it.

1

25

```
MR. ROGER IDEKER: Roger Ideker,
 2
         Ideker Incorporated. I've got a question that
 3
        kind of goes along with Gary's. I still -- I'm
 4
         sorry, I still don't understand it. It regards
 5
         the small business concerns. You state 61
 6
        percent of planned subcontracting will be placed
 7
        with small businesses. Now, the way I read
         that, that's different than saying 61 percent
 9
        will be subcontracted to small businesses.
                  AUDIENCE MEMBER: It's 61 percent of
10
11
         the planned subcontracting.
12
                  MR. ROGER IDEKER: Yeah. Now, if I
13
        plan to sub out five million, the way I read
         this it's 61 percent of that five million will
14
        be subcontracted to small business. Am I
15
        correct or not?
16
17
                  MR. WAGNER: What we'll do is go back
         and talk to our small business advocate and make
18
         sure what I stated earlier is correct that it is
19
20
         61 percent of the total contract value, but
21
        we'll clarify that in an amendment.
                  MR. ROGER IDEKER: Well, it's very
22
        unclear to me, so we'll wait for clarification
23
24
        on that.
```

MR. DIMMITT: Chad, are you going to

```
1 clarify that on the web page then one way or the
```

- 2 other? Sounds like we've got issues on that
- 3 one.
- 4 MR. WAGNER: Well, we can either
- 5 clarify that on the web page or in an amendment,
- one of the two, but we'll get it out. And to
- 7 clarify the question earlier in reference to the
- 8 completion schedule, in section 800 of the
- 9 specifications it does not specifically say what
- 10 the completion schedule is so that will have to
- 11 be addressed by amendment also.
- MR. DION KOERKENMEIER: Dion
- 13 Koerkenmeier, Granite. Will the bid date slide?
- 14 Will it be postponed a couple of weeks or is it
- 15 set in stone?
- MR. DIMMITT: It may slip. It may
- 17 not. It depends on the amendments we put out.
- 18 I don't know right now.
- MR. DION KOERKENMEIER: Okay.
- MR. DIMMITT: We can't guarantee that
- it will still stay February 5.
- MR. DION KOERKENMEIER: Thank you.
- MR. DIMMITT: Any more questions out
- there?
- MR. LARRY MATTESON: Larry Matteson

1	from LW Matteson, Incorporated. Could you
2	elaborate on the bottom degradation requirements
3	as far as the dredging as to what's going to
4	determine whether it's done in one phase or two
5	phase?
6	MR. DETRICK: Charlie Detrick, Corps
7	of Engineers. As far as, basically, first the
8	river recovery, we have done some preliminary
9	studies and such and all supported the design of
10	this project. And we feel the best way to
11	ensure that is to have the surveys completed,
12	ensure recovery is made. Survey is required
13	regardless even if you opt to go 11-month
14	waiting period between dredging areas. It does
15	re this is all spelled out again. And it
16	does recover per the surveys. The surveys show
17	that it's recovered then you can just continue
18	on.
19	MR. DION KOERKENMEIER: Can you
20	elaborate on what you mean by recovery? I mean,
21	is it that there's no upstream bottom
22	degradation occurring? Is that what you're
23	MR. DETRICK: The specifications
24	require the surveys be completed from one mile
25	downstream of the dredging activity to one mile

```
1 upstream of the dredging activity. And if it's
```

- 2 recovered within those limits, I think it's a
- 3 foot or whatever it says in there, then you
- 4 could continue on. Otherwise you would wait the
- 5 11-month period.
- 6 MR. DION KOERKENMEIER: But that can
- 7 be done as you're dredging so that you don't
- 8 have to -- you don't have to shut down dredging
- 9 and do those studies.
- 10 MR. DETRICK: Obviously, it would have
- 11 to be done close to the end of that first, you
- 12 know, as you're approaching that 180-some
- thousand -- or, excuse me -- that 80-some
- thousand yards, whatever it is.
- MR. DION KOERKENMEIER: Right.
- MR. DETRICK: But, again, there is --
- 17 you need to read that closely. If it's not
- 18 still clear then request a clarification because
- 19 there is one other provision in there that
- allows for something less than the 11-month.
- 21 There's a multitude of options here. So you
- 22 want to make sure you understand that section.
- MR. DION KOERKENMEIER: Okay. Thank
- 24 you.
- MR. GREG WRIGHT: Greg Wright with

```
1
         Kelly-Hill Company. How much work would you
 2.
         anticipate the Burlington Northern handling of
 3
         any rail work?
                  MR. DIMMITT: We have a contract with
         the Burlington Northern to do the actual
 5
 6
        breaking of the rail, putting the new rail back.
 7
         And there will be a contract that the Corps of
 8
         Engineers actually has directly with the
 9
         Burlington Northern Railroad and also to furnish
10
         flag men and the safety issues such as that. Is
         that your question?
11
12
                  MR. GREG WRIGHT: Is there any rail
13
         work that would be done by private forces?
                   MR. DIMMITT: As far as actually
14
        breaking rail or putting new rail back or
15
16
        anything like that?
                  MR. GREG WRIGHT: (Nodded head.)
17
                   MS. O'CONNELL: Tricia O'Connell,
18
         Corps of Engineers. All of the work pertaining
19
20
         to the rail will be done by Burlington Northern.
21
                  MR. GREG WRIGHT: Okay. Okay.
                  MS. O'CONNELL: Nothing to place back.
22
23
                   MR. GREG WRIGHT: Okay. I had heard
```

that there was a shoofly, and a lot of times the

railroads will defer and allow a contractor to

24

```
do that work.
```

- 2 MS. O'CONNELL: Right.
- 3 MR. GREG WRIGHT: Usually, if it has
- 4 to do with their main line they generally want
- 5 to do it themselves.
- 6 MS. O'CONNELL: They are doing it
- 7 themselves. There is no shoofly.
- 8 MR. GREG WRIGHT: Okay. Thank you.
- 9 MR. DIMMITT: Bob Dimmitt again. Let
- 10 me just elaborate. There is no shoofly on the
- 11 railroad. There was in an earlier version. We
- do not have a shoofly. There is two tracks
- 13 right now, and we are allowed to go down to a
- 14 single track and you can work. Where the
- existing track is the track will be switched
- over. You'll only have 30 days to get all your
- work done under both tracks and get both tracks
- 18 back into operation. That's at gate Q1 as well
- 19 as gate Q3. The railroad has very strict time
- 20 requirements under that. So there's not a lot
- of extra time in there to get all the foundation
- done for those two gates.
- MR. GREG WRIGHT: Okay.
- MR. BILL WOODSIDE: Bill Woodside with
- 25 Damon Pursell. There is some internal rail

```
1 lines at the Intercontinental facility that I
```

- 2 doubt that the Burlington is going to do that
- 3 work that has to be raised and/or relocated to
- 4 accommodate the new levee.
- 5 MS. O'CONNELL: Intercon has some spur
- 6 lines, and one of the requirements is the spur
- 7 line that's going to be along the levee, that
- 8 that not be moved. So the contractor would be
- 9 required to work around that line according to
- 10 the specs. So actually -- probably wouldn't be
- 11 doing work on that line.
- MR. DIMMITT: Trish, what about Q2?
- MS. O'CONNELL: That's true. Q2 there
- is a small section of rail that will need to be
- 15 slightly raised, very slightly. And part of
- that will complete the stop log gap
- 17 construction, but that's a fairly small length.
- 18 MR. BILL WOODSIDE: Okay. But that's
- 19 not covered. BN will not do that. It will be
- 20 the contractor's responsibility to do that.
- MS. O'CONNELL: Correct.
- MR. BILL WOODSIDE: Okay.
- MR. WADE: Bob, before we go any
- further, can we check that mike?
- MR. DIMMITT: Sure.

(Discussion off the record.)

```
2.
                   MR. DIMMITT: Now that we have the
 3
        microphone working, we need at least one more
 4
         question here.
 5
                   MR. ROBERT GUICHET: Bob Guichet with
 6
         Great Lakes again. Looking at the line and fill
 7
        placement, there is a requirement there is
 8
        no -- I didn't see much of a requirement. But
 9
         along where the Burlington Railroad is, is there
10
        going to be any problem with placing that fill
        hydraulically in that area? I know the
11
12
        railroads typically don't like you placing a
13
        hydraulic fill close to their tracks. In some
        places this thing comes right up against the
14
        base of the tracks.
15
16
                  MR. DETRICK: Charlie Detrick, Corps
17
         of Engineers. There shouldn't be any hydraulic
         fill placed near the main line. That's where
18
19
         the contracts break.
20
                  MR. ROBERT GUICHET: Okay.
21
                  MR. DETRICK: And on the phase II
         there is no --
22
                  MR. ROBERT GUICHET: Okay. That's
23
24
        different line and fill then. Okay.
25
                  MR. DIMMITT: Okay. Any more
```

```
1
         questions? This is your opportunity here. Feel
 2
         free to ask any question you like about the
 3
        project. One last time. Anything else that
         anybody wants to bring up in the public meeting
 5
        here?
                   MR. WADE: Bob, before we close, I'm
 7
         sure Bob mentioned this before, but I want to
         encourage all of you to closely check your bids
 9
        before you submit them. Make sure you dotted
10
        all your I's and crossed all your T's, fill in
11
         everything that needs to be filled in. Because,
12
         unfortunately, it's been my experience with my
13
         tour with the Corps that otherwise low bidders
14
         that would have got contracts often get
         disqualified because they failed to acknowledge
15
         an amendment, they failed to fill in a bid on
16
17
         one or more of the items that should have been
         filled in or they failed to check a block here
18
         or there or elsewhere, didn't have the proper
19
20
        bid bond amount.
21
                   And make sure you check all that
         stuff. We don't like to lose low bidders
22
        because of that, but unfortunately that is the
23
24
         federal contracting rules. And if all the I's
```

and all the T's are not crossed, your bid won't

1

24

25

```
be considered for award even though it's a low
 2
         bid. So, please, double-check it before you
 3
         drop it in the mail or hand-carry it in.
                   MR. DIMMITT: Okay. Anybody else have
         anything else? Earl, do you have any -- or
 5
         Chad -- any last remarks here?
 7
                   MR. EARL SMITH: I just want to locate
         the notebook for the sign-in. Evidently,
 9
         there's two lists going around, and one's the
10
         notebook form and the other one's a note pad.
11
         And if you haven't signed that, would you please
12
         make sure that you sign in before you leave?
13
         And then I believe, if it makes sense, we're
14
         talking about we're going to provide, if I'm not
         mistaken, a complete copy of the minutes on the
15
         web site of this meeting, and I believe at the
16
17
         same time we'll provide you an e-mail address to
         forward your inquiries to if you don't get it
18
         from us today.
19
20
                   MR. WADE: All questions, if they're
         submitted, will get an answer one way or the
21
         other. As I said, you are required an
22
23
         amendment, you'll get an amendment. If the
```

plans and specs are clear in our view, you'll be

told that we believe the plans and specs are

```
1 clear. But they will get an answer one way or
```

- 2 another. And I know the answers will go to
- 3 everybody who got specs.
- 4 MR. RICK SMITH: What do you think the
- 5 schedule is for getting the minutes on the web?
- 6 Will we have -- specifically the sign-in sheet,
- 7 more importantly. I'd like to get the sign-in
- 8 sheet as soon as possible.
- 9 MR. WADE: Well, the first question is
- 10 how quick she gets them typed up. So --
- 11 MR. DIMMITT: No, he said the sign-in
- 12 roster. We have the sign-in roster right now.
- 13 Earl, it's however long it would take you to get
- it up and put it on the web page.
- MR. EARL SMITH: We'll shoot for
- 16 today. I would prefer to project tomorrow, to
- 17 be honest with you. I would like to go ahead
- and gather those pieces of information, the
- 19 sign-in and the minutes, if we could. If that's
- going to be a delay, then we'll go ahead and get
- 21 the sign-in sheet and we'll do it in two phases.
- 22 And I would like to say tomorrow.
- MR. DIMMITT: Okay. So we'll get it
- 24 put on as soon as we can, and then as soon as we
- get the transcription from the minutes we'll put

```
1 that up. And I would assume that would be
```

- 2 sometime next week probably. So probably next
- 3 week on that. I would ask for your detailed
- 4 questions that you have -- I have my cards up
- 5 here. And they're brand-new cards. I just got
- 6 them a couple of weeks ago so the e-mail address
- 7 is still right. And so feel free to pick up one
- 8 of my cards. Feel free to e-mail me your
- 9 comments. The fax number is on there. You can
- 10 fax them. Either way you want to get them to
- 11 me. If you want to come down to the office and
- drop them off down there, that's fine too.
- MR. EARL SMITH: Why don't you just
- 14 give them your e-mail address? Just go ahead
- and tell us your e-mail address for those who
- don't get a card.
- 17 MR. DIMMITT: Okay. If you're ready
- to copy, my e-mail address is Robert,
- 19 r-o-b-e-r-t, dot g, as in golf, dot
- 20 d-i-m-m-i-t-t -- D as in delta, I as in India, M
- 21 as in Mike, M as in Mike, I as in India, T as in
- 22 tango, T as in tango. D-i-m-m-i-t-t. At
- u-s-a-c-e, dot army, dot mil. US Army Corps of
- 24 Engineers dot Army dot mil. Okay? Let me go
- over it one more time. Robert dot G dot Dimmitt

at USACE dot Army dot mil. And feel free to

1

24

25

```
2
         pick up one of my cards that has the same
 3
         address on it.
                   And one last time, are there any
 5
         other, more questions? This is your best
 6
         opportunity to ask us questions.
 7
                   AUDIENCE MEMBER: Your fax number?
 8
                   MR. DIMMITT: Okay. My fax number is
 9
         area code 816-426-6356.
10
                   MR. JOHN HILL: John Hill, Hill
11
         Brothers Construction. I believe in your bid
12
         form you've got some accounting information
13
         asked for. What's the purpose of that? Does it
         serve any part of the award of the contract?
14
                   MR. DIMMITT: Chad, can you address
15
         that?
16
                   MR. WADE: The reason we do that is
17
         the federal acquisition regulations require
18
19
         every contractor to have a consistent accounting
20
         method and it uses the same accounting method
21
         from the beginning to the end of a contract. In
         the past we have had contractors who, where
22
23
         there's a change in the contract, that did not
```

require an accounting schedule. In other words,

the work wouldn't have been on the critical

```
1 path. They will come in with their proposal for
```

- 2 the change and they will claim a percentage
- 3 markup for field office overhead. On the other
- 4 hand, the very next day we might issue a change
- 5 that it does require a time extension to the
- 6 contract and they will come in and claim a per
- 7 diem rate. The government was getting ripped
- 8 off and we got tired of it. And this has been
- 9 litigated all the way through the board,
- 10 contract appeals, being through what they call
- 11 their secret deciding group, 13 judges. The
- 12 case name was MA Mortons and the AGC was funding
- and they wanted to honor the contract, as I
- 14 understand it, or on that appeal. And that's
- the law. You guys have got to tell us what
- 16 you're going to do and stick with it. We don't
- 17 care which way you go, but whatever way you pick
- 18 you're going to stick with it.
- 19 MR. JOHN HILL: Has no effect on the
- lower bidder.
- MR. WADE: No, it doesn't. No.
- MR. JOHN HILL: Just information.
- MR. WADE: Well, yeah. So we know.
- 24 And we need to know in advance so that if the
- 25 first change out of the gate is one way or the

```
1
         other, you don't decide you're going to go the
 2
         way that's most advantageous to you and most
 3
        disadvantageous to the government. You know,
         all we want you to do is just have a consistent
        method and stick with it, which is what the law
 5
 6
        requires.
 7
                  MR. DIMMITT: Okay. One last time
 8
         around the room. Any -- no questions? And
         we'll bring this to a close. No more questions?
 9
10
        Again, I certainly appreciate everybody coming
         out today for this conference. I think we're
11
12
         going to -- one question. Sorry.
13
                   MR. DONAVAN HITE: I'm sorry. It just
         took me a little while to get around. Donavan
14
        Hite, Flick Seed. I have two questions that
15
         will affect my bid price, and they're
16
17
        discrepancies in the bid schedule from the
         specifications. In phase I, page 8 of the bid
18
19
         schedule, seeding mixes are listed RS and LS.
20
         One seed mix is going to be considerably more
21
         expensive than the other. Nowhere else in the
        bidding documents are they listed as RS and LS.
22
23
         They're listed in the rest of the bidding
```

documents, plans and specifications as mixes A

and B. Which is mix A and which is mix B? The

24

```
1
         second question is phase II, pages 19 and 23 of
 2
         the bidding schedule, both list seeding and
        mulching. There are also two mixes, one turf
 3
 4
        mix and one out of area mix. Again, one is more
         expensive than the other and will affect the bid
 5
 6
        price. It does not say which item is the turf
 7
        mix and which item is the levee mix. It simply
 8
         says seeding and mulching mix. I've already
 9
         faxed in a list of these questions to Marty. I
10
        have another hard copy to give to you so they
11
         can come out in the amendment.
12
                  MR. WADE: Thank you.
13
                   MR. SCHUETTPELZ: Marty Schuettpelz
14
         with the Corps environmental. Appreciate you
15
        getting up there, Donavan. I have an apology to
        make. In fact, I overslept this morning and my
16
17
         stuff is all sitting at work so I didn't get --
         I don't have an answer for you directly right
18
        here. But I will get --
19
20
                   MR. DONAVAN HITE: That's fine.
21
                   MR. SCHUETTPELZ: I will get back and
         have that clarified and have that put either on
22
```

the site to explain it or, if necessary, there

will be -- you know, there will have to be an

amendment, but I don't know if that's necessary

23

24

at the moment. Maybe just require a

1

2.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
clarification.
 3
                  MR. DIMMITT: Okay. Anybody else out
         there that would like to ask a question before
 5
        we call a halt to it?
 6
                   MR. WADE: Bob, before we close, if
 7
         any of you haven't been to the federal building
 8
         in recent months, don't wait until the last
 9
        minute if you expect to attend this bid opening.
10
        There's quite a process you're going to go
11
         through getting into the building. I'd advise
12
        you to leave all the metal that you normally
13
         carry on your body or in your briefcase in your
         car to the extent possible. If you have a cell
14
        phone, you're required to turn it off. It's not
15
         a problem. You're required to turn it off.
16
17
        You're going to have to have a picture ID. And
```

time if you plan to attend the bid opening. MR. DIMMITT: Also, that brought up another point I was just thinking about. I know a couple of people have mentioned they didn't have the disk, and if you want a disk you can

so, you know, years past you just walked in

unfortunately. So give yourself plenty of lead

there. That's not the way it is any more

1	pick it up from Earl Smith down at the
2	contracting office. What's your room number
3	down there, Earl?
4	MR. EARL SMITH: 760.
5	MR. DIMMITT: 760. And he has some
6	extras if you want to stop down there and pick
7	it up. For those of you that don't know where
8	the federal building is, it's 601 East 12th,
9	just right in the downtown area, so it's fairly
10	easy to find. Anything else before we bring it
11	to a close? I sure appreciate everybody coming
12	out today, the Corps people helping to answer
13	questions. And I certainly appreciate the City
14	of Riverside providing this facility for us. So
15	with that, we call this meeting to a close, and
16	thank you very much.
17	(The pre-bid conference concluded at
18	10:05 a.m.)
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE
2	I, Sharry L. Hadley, a Notary Public of
3	the State of Missouri, do hereby certify that I
4	appeared at the time and place first hereinbefore
5	set forth, that I took down in shorthand the entire
6	proceedings had at said time and place, and that the
7	foregoing constitutes a true, correct, and complete
8	transcript of my said shorthand notes.
9	Witness my hand and seal this 14th day of
10	January, 2002.
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	Sharry L. Hadley
16	Notary Public, State of Missouri
17	My commission expires 5/21/2002
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	